

DELEGATED DECISION OFFICER REPORT

AUTHORISATION	INITIALS	DATE
File completed and officer recommendation:	AC	14/05/2020
Planning Development Manager authorisation:	TF	14/05/2020
Admin checks / despatch completed	CC	14/05/2020
Technician Final Checks/ Scanned / LC Notified / UU Emails:	PW	14/05/20

Application: 20/00284/LBC **Town / Parish:** Great Bentley Parish Council

Applicant: Mr & Mrs Adams

Address: Palfreymans The Green Great Bentley

Development: Demolition of existing conservatory and formation of single storey rear extension to form garden room.

1. Town / Parish Council

Great Bentley Parish Council No comment regarding this application

2. Consultation Responses

Essex County Council Heritage Support this application subject to conditions.

3. Planning History

95/00702/LBC	(Palfreyman's Cottage, The Green, Great Bentley) Demolition of existing boundary wall and erection of new low wall and railings as replacement	Approved	18.08.1995
96/01520/FUL	(Palfreymans Cottage, The Green, Great Bentley) Extension to existing detached garage	Approved	06.02.1997
96/01521/LBC	(Palfreymans Cottage, The Green, Great Bentley) Extension of garage	Approved	24.01.1997
04/00141/LBC	Re-building of existing front boundary wall with brick plinth and railings	Approved	17.03.2004
05/01767/TCA	Reduce Pear tree in rear garden by 25-30%	Approved	04.11.2005
12/00090/FUL	Demolition of existing garage and erection of cart-lodge.	Approved	13.03.2012
12/00536/FUL	Demolition of existing garage and erection of cart-lodge. (Re-design of scheme approved under	Approved	04.07.2012

	12/00090/FUL)		
13/00881/TCA	1 No. Pear tree- rear garden - reduce.	Approved	03.09.2013
15/01007/LBC	Proposed reconstruction of roof on existing shed.	Approved	11.08.2015
15/01008/FUL	Proposed reconstruction of roof on existing shed.	Approved	
16/01024/FUL	Proposed shed.	Approved	08.09.2016
16/01025/LBC	Proposed shed.	Approved	
19/00657/FUL	Demolition of existing conservatory and formation of single storey rear extension to form lounge.	Refused	19.07.2019
19/00658/LBC	Demolition of existing conservatory and formation of single storey rear extension to form lounge.	Refused	19.07.2019
19/01399/FUL	Demolition of existing conservatory and formation of single storey rear extension to form garden room.	Refused	14.11.2019
19/01400/LBC	Demolition of existing conservatory and formation of single storey rear extension to form garden room.	Refused	14.11.2019
20/00283/FUL	Demolition of existing conservatory and formation of single storey rear extension to form garden room.	Current	
20/00284/LBC	Demolition of existing conservatory and formation of single storey rear extension to form garden room.	Current	

4. Relevant Policies / Government Guidance

NPPF National Planning Policy Framework February 2019

National Planning Practice Guidance

Tendring District Local Plan 2007

QL9 Design of New Development

QL10 Designing New Development to Meet Functional Needs

EN22 Extensions or Alterations to a Listed Building

EN23 Development Within the Proximity of a Listed Building

Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft (June 2017)

SPL3 Sustainable Design

Status of the Local Plan

The 'development plan' for Tendring is the 2007 'adopted' Local Plan. Paragraph 213 of the NPPF (2019) allows local planning authorities to give due weight to adopted albeit outdated policies according to their degree of consistency with the policies in the NPPF. Paragraph 48 of the NPPF also allows weight to be given to policies in emerging plans according to their stage of preparation, the extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies and the degree of consistency with national policy. As of 16th June 2017, the emerging Local Plan for Tendring is the Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft.

Section 1 of the Local Plan (which sets out the strategy for growth across North Essex including Tendring, Colchester and Braintree) was examined in January and May 2018 and the Inspector's initial findings were published in June 2018. They raise concerns, very specifically, about the three 'Garden Communities' proposed in north Essex along the A120 designed to deliver longer-term sustainable growth in the latter half of the plan period and beyond 2033. Further work is required to address the Inspector's concerns and the North Essex Authorities are considering how best to proceed.

With more work required to demonstrate the soundness of the Local Plan, its policies cannot yet carry the full weight of adopted policy, however they can carry some weight in the determination of planning applications. The examination of Section 2 of the Local Plan will progress once matters in relation to Section 1 have been resolved. Where emerging policies are particularly relevant to a planning application and can be given some weight in line with the principles set out in paragraph 48 of the NPPF, they will be considered and, where appropriate, referred to in decision notices. In general terms however, more weight will be given to policies in the NPPF and the adopted Local Plan.

5. Officer Appraisal (including Site Description and Proposal)

Site Description

The dwelling is a timber-framed and red brick faced with plastered returns circa 17th Century cottage with later 18th Century alterations and additions. It immediately adjoins Pond House, originally three cottages, which is also a circa 17th Century listed dwelling, though they should not be defined as a semi-detached dwelling in the recognised context.

Great Bentley derives most of its special qualities from its immense green. The village contains relatively few listed buildings, and few others could be regarded as of great historic or architectural interest. However, many share a family relationship in their scale, colouring and the use of local materials: they group together to make attractive sequences, and their positive relationship with The Green produces a quite distinct character and appearance.

The property has historically benefitted from two storey and single-storey (the conservatory) additions, though it has not been possible to find any related planning or Listed Building Consent applications for these. The existing conservatory is of a poor design and intrusive to the aesthetic value and architectural interest of the host building. The existing conservatory's footprint is also awkward in its relationship with the host building, in front of a window.

Application History

This, and the corresponding Listed Building consent (reference 20/00284/LBC) are the third submissions made seeking permission to develop at the rear of the property. The four previous applications were refused on the grounds that the design, by reason of jarring with the host building, overhanging the existing internal flank elevations of the historic addition and creating a completely dead, unusable space and an awkward junction at this south-east corner of the

dwelling. Their secondary reason for refusal was that the design, by reason of failing to improve the built-relationship between the existing adhoc extensions (which already detract from the building's architectural interest) and the proposed extension which would result in a further inappropriate addition which would detract from the architectural interest and aesthetic value of the composition. There are no public benefits to outweigh this harm, contrary to the aforementioned policies.

Listed Building

Development affecting the setting of a Listed Building can have as dramatic, and if not properly controlled, as severe an impact as unacceptable alterations to the building itself. The setting of a Listed Building is a material planning consideration when considering planning applications.

Paragraph 192 of the NPPF requires the Local Planning Authority, when determining applications for development, to take account of the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, the positive contribution that conservation of heritage assets can make to sustainable communities and the desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness.

Paragraph 196 of the NPPF requires that, where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal including, where appropriate, securing its optimum viable use.

When considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation (and the more important the asset, the greater the weight should be). This is irrespective of whether any potential harm amounts to substantial harm, total loss or less than substantial harm to its significance.

Policy EN17 of the Saved Plan (Development within a Conservation Area) requires that development must preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area. Development will be refused where it would harm the character or appearance of the Conservation Area, including historic plan form, relationship between buildings, the arrangement of open areas and their enclosure, grain, or significant natural or heritage features. Emerging Policy PPL8 reflects this consideration.

This listed building has been subjected to a chronology of seemingly ad-hoc extensions which have already detracted from its architectural interest. In lining directly up with the west flank of the historic addition the design of the extension redresses what was the poor built-relationship between this further extension and the existing extension. The addition now has a more aesthetically-pleasing, integrated relationship with the host building. Overall the proposed addition, cumulatively in conjunction with existing inappropriate additions no longer detracts from the architectural interest and aesthetic value of the composition and is no longer an inappropriate form of extension to a listed building.

6. Recommendation

Approval - Listed Building Consent

7. Conditions / Reasons for Refusal

- 1 The works hereby permitted shall begin before the expiration of three years from the date of this consent.

Reason - To comply with the requirements of Section 18(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

- 2 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans: PGB/20/2, received 21 Feb 2020 and PGB/20/3, received 7th April 2020.

Reason - For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

8. **Informatives**

Application Approved Without Amendment

The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received and subsequently determining to grant planning permission in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development, as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework.

Are there any letters to be sent to applicant / agent with the decision?		NO
Are there any third parties to be informed of the decision?		NO